



# United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/458,190	12/09/1999	BRADLEY CAIN	2204/185	8564	
34845 7	1590 12/13/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
STEUBING MCGUINNESS & MANARAS LLP 125 NAGOG PARK			VO, LILIAN		
ACTON, MA			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
•			2195		
·			DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005	DATE MAILED: 12/13/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/458,190	CAIN, BRADLEY	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Lilian Vo	2195	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence ad	dress
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REI WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory peri - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by sta Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the ma earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	B DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 2.1.136(a). In no event, however, may a re- riod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON atute, cause the application to become AB	CATION.  reply be timely filed  ITHS from the mailing date of this colony BANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
<ul> <li>1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15</li> <li>2a) This action is FINAL. 2b) T</li> <li>3) Since this application is in condition for allow closed in accordance with the practice under</li> </ul>	his action is non-final. wance except for formal matt		e merits is
Disposition of Claims			
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8-11 and 13-15 is/are pending 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are without 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6,8-11 and 13-15 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and	drawn from consideration.		
Application Papers			
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Exam 10)☒ The drawing(s) filed on <u>09 December 1999</u> i Applicant may not request that any objection to t Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the corn 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ the drawing(s) be held in abeyar rection is required if the drawing	nce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CF	FR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority documed 2. Certified copies of the priority documed 3. Copies of the certified copies of the papplication from the International Burn * See the attached detailed Office action for a line of the papplication from the line of the papplication for a line of the papplication for a line of the papplication for a line of the papplication from the line of the papplication for a line of the papplication for a line of the papplication for a line of the papplication from the line of the papplication for a lin	ents have been received. ents have been received in A priority documents have been reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No  received in this National	Stage
Attachment(s)  1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)		Summary (PTO-413)	
<ul> <li>2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/Paper No(s)/Mail Date</li> </ul>	T 4.	s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTC 	D-152)

Art Unit: 2195

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

### **Drawings**

New corrected drawings in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in this application because of Draftperson's Review mailed May 17, 2005. Applicant is advised to employ the services of a competent patent draftsperson outside the Office, as the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office no longer prepares new drawings. The corrected drawings are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. The requirement for corrected drawings will not be held in abeyance.

### Claim Objections

1. Claim 2 is objected to under 37 CFR 1.75(c), as being of improper dependent form for failing to further limit the subject matter of a previous claim. Applicant is required to cancel the claim(s), or amend the claim(s) to place the claim(s) in proper dependent form, or rewrite the claim(s) in independent form. Claim 2 indicates the same limitations as disclosed in claim 1. Therefore, claim 2 does not limit claim 1 and is objected to.

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

<sup>(</sup>b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Art Unit: 2195

3. Claims 1-3, 5, 6, 8, 10, 11, 13 and 15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by CRAYFORD (U.S. Patent 5,404,544).

As to claims 1 and 2, CRAYFORD teaches a computer implemented method for expediting a selected operation (normal operations / data flow transmissions) in a computer system (system), the method comprising: associating a plurality of routing operations (normal operations / data flow transmissions) (col. 7, lines 15-46) with an operating system routing task (MAC / operating software), the plurality of routing operations including the selected operation (normal operations / data flow transmissions); executing the operating system routing tasks at a low priority level (power saving mode / normal mode) prior to performing the selected operation; and raising the operating system routing task to a high priority level (normal mode / power saving mode) in order to perform the selected operation in response to a detection of a trigger condition (indication of a link status) comprising a link state advertising message indicating that the selected operation is to be performed (via the link status indicating that a link is established to thereby allowing the MAC / operating software to execute the normal operations) (col. 4, lines 7-43; col. 8, lines 6-22; abstract). It is inherent from the teachings of CRAYFORD that the inactive (sleep) and active (normal) modes have a priority level to one another since the sleep mode is either low (col. 7, lines 55-66) or high in relation to the other mode (abstract).

As to claim 3, CRAYFORD teaches the operating system task is a routing task (via performing data flow transmissions) (col. 7, lines 15-46) and wherein the link state

Art Unit: 2195

advertisement protocol message includes link status information (via indicating the status condition of a link) (abstract).

As to claim 5, CRAYFORD teaches lowering the operating system task to the low priority level upon completion of the selected operation (via the link is not connected anymore and therefore the status of the link is disconnected such that the MAC / operating software returns to a sleep mode) (col. 4, lines 7-43; col. 8, lines 6-22; abstract).

As to claims 6, 8 and 10, reference is made to a computer device that corresponds to the method of claims 1-3 and 5 and is therefore met by the rejection of claims 1-3 and 5 above.

As to claims 11, 13 and 15, reference is made to a program product that corresponds to the method of claims 1-3 and 5 and is therefore met by the rejection of claims 1-3 and 5 above.

# Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 1. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Art Unit: 2195

2. Claims 4, 9, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over CRAYFORD (U.S. Patent 5,404,544) in view of Applicant's Admitted Prior Art (APA).

As to claim 4, CRAYFORD teaches executing routing operations by the operating system task (MAC / operating software) based on information received in a link state protocol message (link status) (col. 4, lines 7-43; col. 8, lines 6-22; abstract).

CRAYFORD also teaches that varies changes can be made based upon the cited invention (col. 8, lines 39-43). However, CRAYFORD does not teach that the operations are Dijkstra operations.

APA teaches that when a node receives a LSA message, the node updates its topology information database by running a specil algorithm to determine the routes based upon the updated topology information wherein a well-known algorithm for determining the routes is a Dijkstra shortest path algorithm (pg. 1, lines 26-30). Therefore, it would be obvious to combine the teachings of CRAYFORD with the teachings of APA in order to facilitate computation of a shortest path based upon a link state advertisement (col. 30, lines 30-31).

As to claim 9, reference is made to a computer device that corresponds to the method of claim 4 and is therefore met by the rejection of claim 4 above.

As to claim 14, reference is made to a program product that corresponds to the method of claim 4 and is therefore met by the rejection of claim 4 above.

Art Unit: 2195

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Lilian Vo whose telephone number is (571) 272-3774 or Lewis A. Bullock, Jr. at (571) 272-3759 in her absence. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday, 8:30 - 5:00 p.m.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Meng An can be reached on (571) 272-3756. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

December 8, 2005